SAO 440 (Rev. 8/01) Summons in a Civil Action

Document 1 Filed 06/20/2008

file (No. 1) to the state of th		
Unite	D STATES DISTR	RICT COURT
Southern	District of	New York
Nestor Laracuente, Individually and on All Other Persons Similarly Situa	ited	
v.	5	SUMMONS IN A CIVIL ACTION
Smokenfoods, LLC and Steven	вуу	
	CASE NU	JMBER:
	08	CW 5618 '
TO: (Nattle and address of Defendan	nt)	
AND THE RESIDENCE OF THE PROPERTY OF	nd Steven Levy, 206 East 63	rd Street, New York,
YOU ARE HEREBY SUMMONE. Dana L. Gottlieb, Esq. PHR, New York, New	Gottlieb & Associates 150	LAINTIFF'S ATTORNEY (name and uddress) East 18th Street, Suite
an answer to the complaint which is served of this summons on you, exclusive of the day for the relief demanded in the complaint. A Clerk of this Court within a reasonable period	of service. If you fail to do	within 30 days after service so, judgment by default will be taken against you n the parties to this action must be filed with the
J. MICHAEL McMAHO	DATE	JUN 2 0 2008

	RETURN OF SERVICE
Service of the Summons and complaint was made by me	y.i) DATE
AME OF SERVER (PRINT)	TITLE
Check one box below to indicate appropriate met	had of service
☐ Served personally upon the defendant. Place	S11-2-0 - 4-0 - 0 - 0 - 0 - 0 - 0 - 0 - 0 - 0
and another	ling house or usual place of abode with a person of suitable age and
Name of person with whom the summons a	ud complaint were left:
☐ Returned unexecuted:	
Other (specify):	
— Outor (specify).	
CTA	APPRENTITION OF THE PROPERTY O
AVEL SERVICES	ATEMENT OF SERVICE FEES
	\$0.00
	ECLARATION OF SERVER
I declare under penalty of perjury and contained in the Return of Service and Statemen	ter the laws of the United States of America that the foregoing information of Service Fees is true and correct.
Executed on	fer the laws of the United States of America that the foregoing information at of Service Fees is true and correct. Signature of Server
Executed on	of delvice rees is trie and correct.
Executed on	of delvice rees is trie and correct.
Executed on	Signature of Server



Gottlieb & Associates
Jeffrey M. Gottlieb, Esq. (JG-7905)
Dana L. Gottlieb, Esq. (DG-6151)
150 East 18th Street, Suite PFIR
New York, New York 10003
Tel: (212) 228-9795
Attorneys for Plaintiffs

UNITED STATES DISTRICT	COLDUT
SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF N	COUKI
DOCKLINIA DISTRICT OF M	EW YORK

'08 CIV 5618

NESTOR LARACUENTE, Individually, and on Behalf of All Other Persons Similarly Situated,	: ECF : 2008 Civ
Plaintiffs, -against- SMOKENFOODS, LLC and STEVEN LEVY	CLASS AND COLLECTIVE ACTION COMPLAINT

Plaintiff, through his attorneys, complaining of Defendants, alleges as follows:

Defendants.

NATURE OF THE ACTION

- 1. Plaintiff alleges, on behalf of himself and other similarly situated current and former employees of the Defendants who elect to opt into this action pursuant to the Fair Labor Standards Act ("FLSA"), 29 U.S.C. §§ 216(b), that they are: (i) entitled to unpaid wages from Defendants for overtime work for which they did not receive overtime premium pay, as required by law, and (ii) entitled to liquidated damages pursuant to the FLSA, 29 U.S.C. §§201 et seq.
- 2. Plaintiff further complains on behalf of himself that he is entitled to back wages from Defendant for overtime work for which he did not receive overtime premium pay, as required by New York Labor Law §§ 650 et seq., including Part 142, § 142-2.2 ("Overtime Rate") of Title 12 of the Official Compilation of Codes, Rules and Regulations promulgated by the

Commissioner of Labor pursuant to the Minimum Wage Act (Article 19 of the New York State Labor Law) in violation of said statutes.

JURISDICTION AND VENUE

- 3. This Court has subject matter jurisdiction over the claims in this action pursuant to the provisions of 28 U.S.C. §§ 1331, 1337 and 1343, and supplemental jurisdiction over Plaintiff's state law claims pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1367. In addition, this Court has jurisdiction over Plaintiff's claims under the FLSA pursuant to 29 U.S.C. § 216(b).
- 4. Venue is proper in this district pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1391 as the Defendants' principal place of business is in this district and a substantial part of the events or omissions giving rise to the claims occurred in this District.
- 5. This Court is empowered to issue a declaratory judgment pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§ 2201 and 2202.

PARTIES

- 6. Plaintiff, Nestor Laracuente ("Laracuente"), at all relevant times, resided in Whitestone, New York.
- 7. Upon information and belief, Defendant, Smokenfoods, LLC ("Smokenfoods"), is a corporation organized and existing in the State of New York.
- 8. Upon information and belief, Defendant, Smokenfoods, owns and operates a restaurant.
- 9. Upon information and belief, Defendant, Smokenfoods, has a principal place of business at 206 East 63rd Street, New York, New York, 10065, New York County in the State of New York.

- 10. Upon information and belief, Defendant, Steven Levy ("Levy"), owns, operates and controls the day to day operations and management of Smokenfoods and conducts business at 206 East 63rd Street, New York, New York, 10065 and jointly employed Plaintiff and other similarly situated employees at all relevant times.
- 11. Upon information and belief, Defendant, Levy, is the managing agent of the Defendants and is the principal owner of Defendant, Smokenfoods, and participated in the dayto-day operations of the Defendants and acted intentionally and maliciously and are "employers" pursuant to the FLSA, 20 U.S.C. §203(d) and regulations promulgated thereunder, 29 C.F.R. §791.2, as well as the New York Labor Law Sec. 2 and the regulations thereunder and are jointly and severally liable.
- 12. Upon information and belief, each Defendant is an enterprise engaged in commerce or in the production of goods for commerce. Each Defendant is an enterprise because each performs its related activities for a common business purpose. Each Defendant is engaged in commerce or in the production of goods for commerce, because, inter alia, each Defendant has employees that handle goods and materials that have been produced for and moved in commerce, and, upon information and belief, each Defendants' annual gross volume of business is at least \$500,000.
- 13. Each Defendant, either directly or indirectly, has hired and fired Plaintiff and other employees; controlled Plaintiff's work schedules and conditions of employment; determined the rate and method of his payment; and kept at least some records regarding his employment.

COLLECTIVE ACTION ALLEGATIONS

14. Pursuant to 29 U.S.C. § 207, Plaintiff seeks to prosecute his FLSA claim as a collective action on behalf of all persons who are or were formerly employed by Defendants at

Page 6 of 13

any time since June 18, 2005 to the entry of judgment in this case (the "Collective Action Period"), who were non-exempt employees within the meaning of the FLSA and who received no compensation for overtime at rates not less than one-half times the regular rate of pay for hours worked in excess of forty hours per workweek (the "Collective Action Members").

- 15. This collective action class is so numerous that joinder of all members is impracticable. Although the precise number of such persons is unknown, and the facts on which the calculation of that number are presently within the sole control of the Defendants, upon information and belief, there are over 20 Collective Action Members during the Collective Action Period, most of whom would not be likely to file individual suits because they lack adequate financial resources, access to attorneys or knowledge of their claims.
- 16. Plaintiff will fairly and adequately protect the interests of the Collective Action Members and has retained counsel that is experienced and competent in the fields of employment law and class action litigation. Plaintiff has no interest that is contrary to or in conflict with those members of this collective action.
- 17. A collective action is superior to other available methods for the fair and efficient adjudication of this controversy, since joinder of all members is impracticable. Furthermore, inasmuch as the damages suffered by individual Collective Action Members may be relatively small, the expense and burden of individual litigation make it virtually impossible for members of the collective action to individually seek redress for the wrongs done to them. There will be no difficulty in the management of this action as a collective action.
- 18. Questions of law and fact common to the members of the collective action predominate over questions that may affect only individual members because Defendants have

4

acted on grounds generally applicable to all members. Among the common questions of law and fact common to Plaintiff and other Collective Action Members are:

- (a) whether the Defendants employed the Collective Action Members within the meaning of the FSLA;
- (b) what proof of hours worked is sufficient where the employer fails in its duty to maintain records:
- (c) whether Defendants failed to post or keep posted a notice explaining the minimum wages and overtime pay rights provided by the FLSA in any area where Plaintiffs are employed, in violation of C.F.R. § 516.4;
- (d) whether Defendants failed to pay the Collective Action Members for overtime compensation for hours worked in excess of forty hours per workweek in violation of the FSLA and the regulations promulgated thereunder;
- (e) whether Defendants' violations of the FLSA are willful as that term is used within the context of the FLSA;
- (f) whether Defendants are liable for all damages claimed hereunder, including but not limited to compensatory, punitive and statutory damages, interest, costs and disbursements and attorneys' fees; and
- (g) whether Defendants should be enjoined from such violations of the FLSA in the future.
- 19. Plaintiff knows of no difficulty that will be encountered in the management of this litigation that would preclude its maintenance as a collective action.

STATEMENT OF THE FACTS

- 20. Laracuente was employed by Defendants from on or about December, 2007 until May, 2008 (the "time period").
 - 21. Plaintiff was employed as a chef in Defendants' restaurant.
- 22. Plaintiff, and other similarly situated employees, often worked in excess of 40 hours a week, yet the Defendants willfully failed to pay him overtime compensation of one and one-half times his regular hourly rate in violation of the FLSA and the New York Labor Law. As stated, the exact number of such individuals is presently unknown, but within the sole knowledge of the Defendants and can be ascertained through appropriate discovery.
- 23. Plaintiff's work was performed in the normal course of the Defendants' business and was integrated into the business of Defendants.
- 24. Plaintiff's duties did not include managerial responsibilities or the exercise of independent judgment.
- 25. Throughout that time and, upon information belief, both before that time (throughout the Class Period) and continuing intil today, the Defendants have likewise employed other individuals, like the Plaintiff (the Collective Action Members) in positions that required little skill and no capital investment and their duties and responsibilities did not include any managerial responsibilities or the exercise of independent judgment. They do not have the authority to hire or fire other employees, and they are not responsible for making hiring and firing recommendations.
- 26. Throughout all relevant time periods, upon information and belief, and during the course of Plaintiff's own employment and the course of the Collective Action Period, the Defendants failed to maintain accurate and sufficient time records.

- 27. At all relevant times, one or more Defendant employed and/or jointly employed one or more Plaintiffs.
- 28. Throughout all relevant time periods, upon information and belief, and during the course of Plaintiff's own employment, while Defendants employed Plaintiff and the Collective Action Members, Defendants failed to post or keep posted a notice explaining the minimum wage and overtime pay rights provided by the FLSA.

FIRST CLAIM FOR RELIEF FAIR LABOR STANDARDS ACT

29 Plaintiff repeats and realleges each and every allegation of the preceding paragraphs hereof with the same force and effect as though fully set forth herein.

30At all relevant times, Defendants have been and continue to be an employer engaged in interstate commerce and/or the production of goods for commerce, within the meaning of FLSA, 29 U.S.C. §§ 206(a) and 207(a).

- 31 At all relevant times, Defendants employed and/or continue to employ, Plaintiff and each of the Collective Action Members within the meaning of the FLSA.
- 32 Upon information and belief, at all relevant times, Defendants have had gross annual revenues in excess of \$500,000.
- 33 Plaintiff consents in writing to be a party to this action, pursuant to 29 U.S.C. § 216(b). Plaintiff's written consent is attached hereto and incorporated by reference.
- 34 At all relevant times, the Defendants had a policy and practice of refusing to pay overtime compensation to its employees for their hours worked in excess of forty hours per workweek.
- 35 As a result of the Defendants' willful failure to compensate its employees, including Plaintiff and the Collective Action members, for overtime at a rate not less than one and one-half

times the regular rate of pay for work performed in excess of forty hours in a workweek, the Defendants have violated and continue to violate the FSLA, 29 U.S.C. §§ 201 et seq., including 29 U.S.C. §§ 207(a)(1) and 215(a).

36 As a result of Defendants' failure to record, report, credit, and/or compensate its employees, including Plaintiff and the Collective Action members, Defendants have failed to make, keep and preserve records with respect to each of its employees sufficient to determine the wages, hours, and other conditions and practices of employment in violation of the FSLA, 29 U.S.C. §§ 201, et seq., including 29 U.S.C. §§ 207(a)(1) and 215(a).

37 The foregoing conduct, as alleged, constitutes a willful violation of the FLSA within the meaning of 29 U.S.C. § 255(a).

38. Due to Defendants' FLSA violations, Plaintiff, on behalf of himself and the Collective Action Members, are entitled to recover from Defendants their unpaid overtime compensation, an additional amount equal as liquidated damages, additional liquidated damages for unreasonably delayed payment of wages, reasonable attorneys' fees, and costs and disbursements of this action, pursuant to 29 U.S.C. § 216(b).

SECOND CLAIM FOR RELIEF NEW YORK STATE LABOR LAW

- 39. Plaintiff repeats and realleges each and every allegation of the preceding paragraphs hereof with the same force and effect as though fully set forth herein.
- 40. At all relevant times, Plaintiff was employed by Defendant within the meaning of the New York Labor Law, §§ 2 and 651.
- 41. Defendant willfully violated Plaintiff's rights by failing to pay him overtime compensation at rates not less than one and one-half times the regular rate of pay for each hour

worked in excess of forty hours in a workweek in violation of the New York Labor Law and its regulations.

- 42. Defendant's New York Labor Law violations have caused Plaintiff irreparable harm for which there is no adequate remedy at law.
- 43. Due to Defendant's New York Labor Law violations, Plaintiff is entitled to recover from Defendant his unpaid overtime compensation and damages for unreasonably delayed payment of wages, reasonable attorneys' fees, and costs and disbursements of the action and liquidated damages, pursuant to New York Labor Law § 663(1).

PRAYER FOR RELIEF

WHEREFORE Plaintiff on behalf of himself and all others similarly situated, Collective Action Members, respectfully requests that this Court grant the following relief:

- (a) Designation of this action as a collective action on behalf of the Collective Action Members and prompt issuance of notice pursuant to 29 U.S.C. § 216(b) to all similarly situated members of an FSLA Opt-In-Class, apprising them of the pendency of this action and permitting them to assert timely FLSA claims in this action by filing individual Consents to Sue pursuant to 29 U.S.C. § 216(b) and appointing Plaintiff and Plaintiff's counsel to represent the Collective Action Members.
- (b) A declaratory judgment that the practices complained of herein are unlawful under the FLSA and the New York Labor Law;
- (c) An injunction against the Defendants and its officers, agents, successors, employees, representatives and any and all persons acting in concert with them, as provided by law, from engaging in each of the unlawful practices, policies and patterns set forth herein;

1

9

- (d) An award of unpaid overtime compensation due under the FLSA and the New York Labor Law;
- (e) An award of liquidated and/or punitive damages as a result of the Defondants' willful failure to pay overtime compensation pursuant to 29 U.S.C. § 216 and New York Labor Law § 663(1);
- An award of prejudgment and post judgment interest;
- (g) An award of costs and expenses of this action together with reasonable attorneys' and expert fees; and
- (h) Such other and further relief as this Court deems just and proper.

DEMAND FOR TRIAL BY JURY

Pursuant to Rule 38(b) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, Plaintiff demands a trial by jury on all questions of fact raised by the Complaint.

Dated: New York, New York June 18, 2008

GOTTLIEB & ASSOCIATES

Dana L. Gottlieb, Esq. (DG-6151)
Attorneys for Plaintiff, Individually,
and on Behalf of All Other Persons Similarly Situated

Gottlieb & Associates Jeffrey M. Gottlieb, Esq. (JG-7905) Dana L. Gottlieb, Esq. (DG-6151) 150 East 18th Street, Suite PHR New York, New York 10003 Tel: (212) 228-9795

CONSENT TO BECOME PARTY PLAINTIFF

By my signature below, I hereby authorize the filing and prosecution of claims in my name and on my behalf to contest the failure of <u>InoKin feeds</u> Leave to pay me overtime wages as required under state and/or federal law and also authorize the filing of this consent in the action(s) challenging such conduct. I authorize the representative plaintiffs and designate them class representatives as my agents to make decisions on my behalf concerning the litigation, the method and manner of conducting this litigation, the entering of an agreement with Plaintiffs' counsel concerning attorneys' fees and costs, and all other matters pertaining to this lawsuit.

nature /

Date Prin

Print Name